

## **OpenSDI, Open Spatial Data Infrastuctures**

#### Prof. Dr. Ulrike Klein Bochum University of Applied Sciences, Germany

Assist. Prof. Dr. Dražen Tutić University of Zagreb, Croatia

## **Spatial Data Management**

- Acquisition, distribution, and utilization of geographic (or geospatial) data and mapping to support public and private sector applications of geospatial data (Clinton 1994)
- ... storage, indexing, and querying of spatial data ...
- ... data structure & formats ... analog, digital, GIS, spatial databases ...

## Why SDI - Spatial Data Infrastructure?

Change No. n

- Avoid wasteful duplication of effort and promote effective and economical management of resources among public authorities (Clinton 1994)
- ... organization & technology for access ... INSPIRE, geoportals, web-services ...

## Why OpenSDI - Open Spatial Data Infrastructure?

Change No. **n+1** 

- Including users outside of public authorities into SDI as key for the performance of the infrastructure (Vancauwenberghe et al. 2018)
- usage, update, privacy ...

## **Shared Responsibilities?**

- Public authorities end their responsibilities by making data available at geoportal
- Users create new improved datasets but do not improve the infrastructure with that
- Users act like authorities in previous times (keep the data for themselves)

#### Can this behaviour (interests) ensure sustainability and further development of SDI?

## EU Laws and Regulations connected to Open Spatial Data Infrastructures

- Council Directive 90/313/EEC of 7 June 1990: Freedom of Access to Information on the Environment
- PSI Directive 2003/98/EC of 31 December 2003: re-use of public sector information
- Directive 2007/2/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 March 2007 establishing an Infrastructure for Spatial Information in the European Community (INSPIRE)

 NEW! Directive (EU) 2019/1024 of 16 July 2019: The Directive on open data and the re-use of public sector information - "Open Data Directive"

# Spatial data as high value data (Directive (EU) 2019/1024)

List of thematic categories of high-value datasets, as referred to in Article 13(1)

- 1. Geospatial
- 2. Earth observation and environment
- 3. Meteorological
- 4. Statistics
- 5. Companies and company ownership
- 6. Mobility

#### Croatia: State of Play on OpenData - 2018

	CROATIA		-
St	tate-of-Play on Oper	n Data - 2018	<u> </u>
DIMENSION	INDICATOR	EU28 SCORES	COUNTRY SCORES*
Policy	Overall score	82%	90% 🕥
( <u></u>	Policy framework	81%	94% 🔾
	Coordination at national level	80%	83% 🔘
<b>√</b>	Licencing norms	88%	100% )
Impact	Overall score	50%	31%
	Strategic awareness	62%	45%
	Political	55%	23%
$\mathbf{O}$	Social	43%	41% )
$\mathbf{\mathbf{v}}$	Environmental	48%	44% 🔾
	Economic	31%	O N/A
Portal	Overall score	63%	57% •
	Portal features	64%	60% •
~	Portal usage	76%	75% •
<b>ail =</b>	Data provision	64%	50%
	Portal sustainability	49%	42%
Quality	Overall score	62%	71% •
-	Automation	61%	85% •
	Data and metadata currency	54%	64%
	DCAT-AP compliance	71%	69% 🔘

\* Weighted averages

## **Croatia Chronology**

- Transposed PSI 2003 in place from March 2013
- EU member state from July 1, 2013
- Transposed PSI amendments from 2013 in place from August 2015
- Open Data Portal (CKAN) launched in March 2015
- Ordinance on licences from July 2017
- Open Licence Croatia July 2017 (compatibile with CC-BY)
- INSPIRE from 2007

### **Official Statistics for Open Data Ecosystem in Croatia**

- Number of institutions obliged under PSI (2 sources on Open Data Portal)
  - Register of Public Government Bodies 4592
  - List of Public Government Bodies 5797
- 74 publishers on Open Data Portal
- 578 data sets on Open Data Portal of Croatia (last entry 2016, last app 2017)
- 887 data sets on European Data Portal?
- Open Data Portal 622
- NSDI geoportal 212 (INSPIRE directive)
- City of Zagreb 53
- CC-BY licence used on 673 data sets

#### Challenge 1 - Personal Experience, Dec 2017

- Protected areas of Republic of Croatia (Environmental Agency) – spatial data set
- Service for automatic comparison of official data with OSM – project work with students on Open Geoinformation course
- 3 sources of same data at the time small differences in number of areas in all 3 data sets
- **Communicated** with agency and our findings were taken into account, and additional guides on use of data was given (internal code 1,2,3 on status).
- Outsourcing of maintainance to private companies!!!

## **Challenge 2 -** City of Bjelovar – February 2019

- Open access to city budget (salaries, names (GDPR!), donations, contracts, wellfare, lunches ...)
- Explanations, overview diagrams + detailed tables of every transaction

 GDPR - City of Bjelovar didn't followed the recommendations of Agency for Protection of Personal Data !!!

## Challenge 3 - Geoportal Licence



Data contained in the State Geodetic Administration Geoportal system, except for publicly available view network services and data contained therein for which the <u>terms of use for view network services</u> apply, may not be exchanged, reproduced, collected or distributed in electronic or other form. Pressure from OpenStreetMap community over the years for open access to data published over web-services.

The view network service is available to anonymus and registered users at no charge. The user may use this service to create new value-added products. ...

## **Broader Challenges**

- Compatibility of Geoportals and Open Data Portals for automatic harvesting?
- Awareness and coordination on political level
- Technical conditions and resources of public authorities
- Exclusive rights arrangements between public bodies and private provider of IT services – costs for public bodies
- Availability of data
- Quality of data
- Awareness and interest of public for open data

## Germany: State of Play on OpenData - 2018

NENSION INDICATOR EU28 SCORES   Policy Overall score 82%   Policy framework 83%   Coordination at national level 80%   Licencing norms 80%   Strategic awareness 62%   Social 55%   Social 55%   Environmental 48%   Environmental 48%   Portal 64%   Portal 64%   Overall score 63%   Portal 55%   Otal features 64%   Portal sustainability 49%   Optical sustainability 49%   Overall score 62%   Overall score 63%   Strategic awareness 64%   Strategic awareness 64% <th>St</th> <th>ate-of-Play on Oper</th> <th>n Data - 2018</th> <th></th>	St	ate-of-Play on Oper	n Data - 2018	
Policy iOverall score83%ImpactOverall score56%39%ImpactOverall score56%Stategic awareness62%39%Policy framework55%Scial43%Environmental45%Economic31%Dottal features64%Portal usage7%Portal usage64%Portal sustainability49%Overall score63%Stategic awareness64%Social45%Social45%Social55%Social63%Portal64%Social56%Social sustainability49%Social sustainability65%Social sustainability64%Social sustainability64%Social sustainability65%Social sustainability64%Social sustainability64%Social sustainability65%Social s	IMENSION	INDICATOR	EU28 SCORES	COUNTRY SCORES *
Policy framework81%90%Coordination at national level80%71%Licencing norms88%83%ImpactOverall score50%39%Frategic awareness62%50%Political55%40%Social43%50%Environmental48%50%Economic31%15%Portal64%54%Portal score63%54%Portal usage70%Data provision64%55%Portal sustainability49%42%Overall score65%65%Automation61%90%	Policy	Overall score	82%	77% •
Coordination at national level89%71%Licencing norms88%83%ImpactOverall score50%Strategic awareness62%50%Political55%50%Social43%50%Environmental48%19%Economic31%15%Portal0verall score63%Portal features64%55%Portal usage76%55%Data provision64%55%Portal sustainability49%42%Overall score62%89%Automation61%90%	<u></u>	Policy framework	81%	83% •
Licencing norms88%AutomationImpactOverall score50%39%Strategic awareness62%50%Political55%46%Social43%50%Environmental48%19%Economic31%15%PortalOverall score63%Portal64%56%Data provision64%56%Portal score64%56%Overall score64%9%Overall score64%9%Overall score64%9%Otal sustainability49%42%Overall score62%9%Overall score64%9%Overall score64%9%Overall score64%9%Overall score64%9%Overall score62%9%Overall score61%9%Over		Coordination at national level	80%	71% •
ImpactOverall scoreS0%39%WitcalStrategic awareness62%S0%PoliticalS5%46%Social43%50%Environmental44%19%Economic31%15%PortalOverall score63%Portal features64%49%Portal sustainability49%56%Overall score62%56%Data provision64%25%Portal sustainability49%42%Overall score62%89%Automation61%90%	✓	Licencing norms	88%	83%
Strategic awareness 62%   Political 55%   Social 43%   Social 43%   Environmental 48%   Economic 31%   Strategic awareness 64%   Portal 64%   Portal features 64%   Portal usage 76%   Data provision 64%   Portal sustainability 49%   Quality Overall score   Automation 61%	Impact	Overall score	50%	39% •
Political55%46%Social43%50%Environmental44%19%Economic31%15%PortalOverall score63%54%Portal features64%48%Portal usage76%56%Data provision64%56%Portal sustainability49%42%Overall score62%89%Automation61%90%		Strategic awareness	62%	50%
Social43%50%Environmental48%19%Economic31%15%PortalOverall score63%54%Portal features64%48%Portal usage76%Data provision64%Portal sustainability49%QualityOverall score62%Automation61%		Political	55%	46% •
Environmental48%19%Economic31%15%PortalOverall score63%54%Portal features64%48%Portal usage76%75%Data provision64%56%Portal sustainability49%42%QualityOverall score62%Automation61%90%	$\mathbf{\Theta}$	Social	43%	50% 🔵
Economic31%15% •PortalOverall score63%54% •Portal features64%48% •Portal usage76%75% •Data provision64%56% •Portal sustainability49%42% •QualityOverall score62%Automation61%90% •		Environmental	48%	19%
PortalOverall score63%54%Portal features64%48%Portal usage76%Data provision64%Portal sustainability49%Quality0verall scoreAutomation61%		Economic	31%	15%
Portal features64%48%Portal usage76%75%Data provision64%56%Portal sustainability49%42%QualityOverall score62%Automation61%90%	Portal	Overall score	63%	54% •
Portal usage76%75%Data provision64%56%Portal sustainability49%42%QualityOverall score62%Automation61%90%		Portal features	64%	48% •
Data provision       64%       56%         Portal sustainability       49%       42%         Quality       Overall score       62%       89%         Automation       61%       90%       90%	~~	Portal usage	76%	75% •
Overall score     62%       Automation     61%		Data provision	64%	56%
QualityOverall score62%Automation61%		Portal sustainability	49%	42%
Automation 61% 90% O	Quality	Overall score	62%	89% •
	-	Automation	61%	90% 🔿
		DCAT-AP compliance	71%	95% )

#### **Open government - A culture of innovation and cooperation in government** - Philospohy of OpenGovernment in Germany from the Federal Ministery of Interior, Building

OpenGovernment in Germany from the Federal Ministery of Interior, Building and Community

- "Open Government describes a development towards more collaboration, participation and transparency in public administration. The core issues of Open Government are to improve services for citizens, to raise confidence in institutions and to create a culture of openness and citizen engagement. The strength of democracy is based on the ability to listen to and work with each other.
- "[...] Open Data is a tremendous resource and essential for a modern Open Government policy. Societies are fueled by the availability of reusable public data and innovation. That is why the Federal Government is passing a bill to realize the principle "open by default" for the first time. The bill will provide legal security for public servants and a sustainable approach to more useful open government data. The bill is expected to become law in the summer of 2017.
- The idea of Open Government has progressed beyond technology. It is no longer just about publishing data. Open Government has become a driver of innovative approaches to overcome social challenges. Being open to new ideas and 16/36 being willing to listen to citizens' concerns is a central element of our civil society.

## **OpenData Portals in Germany - Examples**

## National Level: OpenData Portal Germany



#### Stöbern Sie in diesen Kategorien



### **Search Results**

		Daten Informationen	Blog Standardisierung
Nach Datensätz	zen suchen Suchen Erweiterte Suche Kartensuche		
7666 Treffer Suche	Alles 7666 Daten 7666 Informationen  Blog-Beiträge	Sortieren nach: Relevanz absteigend 🗸	,
Filtermöglichkeiten zurücksetzen   KATEGORIEN 3279   Bevölkerung und Gesellschaft 3279   Bildung, Kultur und Sport 1130   Energie 116   Gesundheit 370   Internationale Themen 66   Justiz, Rechtssystem und öffentliche Sicherheit 2705	Datensatz Geologische Übersichtskarte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland 1:200.000 (GÜK200) - CC 5518 Fulda Blatt Fulda zeigt einen Teil der Hessischen Buntsandstein-Landschaft, die im Westen von Ausläufern des Rheinischen Schiefergebirges und im Norden durch den Einbruch der Nordhessischen Tertiärsenke Veröffentlichende Stelle: - Kategorie: Ø Umwelt	Dateiformate: ATOM WWW XML Letzte Änderung: 15.11.2016 Zeitraum:	
Landwirtschaft, Fischerei, Forstwirtschaft und Nahrungsmittel Regierung und öffentlicher Sektor	Offenheit der Lizenz: ال Freie Nutzung	zum Datensatz 🚫	
	Datensatz Bodenübersichtskarte 1:200.000 (BÜK200) - CC5518 Fulda	Dateiformate:	

## **Availiable Data**

### 23.986 Datasets in 13 categories, 10 different licences and 10 different data formats

Datenlizenz Deutschland Namensnennung 2.0	10684	csv	11001
http://dcat-ap.de/def/licenses/dl-by-de/2_0	4468	html	9742
Creative Commons Namensnennung (CC-BY)	1837	wms	5991
http://dcat-ap.de/def/licenses/dl-zero-de/2_0	1586	karte	4398
http://dcat-ap.de/def/licenses/cc-by-de/3_0	1241	webanwendung	4397
Nutzungsbestimmungen für die Bereitstellung von Geodaten des Landes Berlin	985	pdf	4055
Datenlizenz Deutschland – Zero – Version 2.0	892	gml	3164
Creative Commons CC Zero License (cc-zero)	470	xml	2867
Creative Commons Namensnennung – 4.0	376	diverse	1684
International (CC BY 4.0)		www	1381
Nutzungsbestimmungen für die Bereitstellung von Geodaten des Bundes	327		

#### State Level: OpenNRW - Northrhine Westphalia

LEICHTE SPRACHE 📖 GEBÄRDI	ENSPRACHE 🤌					DATENSCHUTZ 🔒	KONTAKT 🦪
open nrw					Beauftr Nordrhe für Info	agter der Landesregieru ein-Westfalen rmationstechnik (CIO)	ing
OPEN.NRW	OPEN DATA	DIALOG	ZUSAMMENARBEIT	INFORM		<b>?</b> FAQ	2
	Portal durchsuchen				Q		
offene Daten (3898) Inha	alte (206)						
3898 Treffer							
Suche weiter eingr	enzen						
Verfügbare Kategorie(n)			Verfügbare Format(e)				
Optionen wählen			Optionen wählen				
Portale							
Optionen wählen							
Suche zurücksetzen 🛛 🗙							
			\$	Sortieren nach	Name	Letzte Änderung	Relevanz
2013-09-22_wahlbeteili	gung_koeln				CSV		
Wahlbeteiligung in Köln fi	ür die Bundestagswahl 2013						
Letzte Anderung: 14.06.2019 Veröffentlichende Stelle: Sta Kategorien: Regierung und ör	dt Köln ffentlicher Sektor						

#### Municipality Level: OpenData Portal Bochum



#### **Example Dataset Population**

#### Bevölkerung

#### Inhaltsverzeichnis

Anzahl der Einwohner nach statistischen Bezirken, Staatsangehörigkeit und Alter der Person Anzahl der Einwohner nach Stadtbezirken, Staatsangehörigkeit und Alter der Person Anzahl der Einwohner nach statistischen Bezirken, Staatsangehörigkeit und Geschlecht der Person Anzahl der Einwohner nach Stadtbezirken, Staatsangehörigkeit und Geschlecht der Person

#### Anzahl der Einwohner nach statistischen Bezirken, Staatsangehörigkeit und Alter der Person

Stand: 31. Dezember 2016	<u>csv-Datei</u> 🛃	(veröffentlicht am 9. Mai 2017)
	<u>xlsx-Datei</u> 👪	(veröffentlicht am 9. Mai 2017)
	<u>Metadaten</u>	
Stand: 31. Dezember 2015	<u>csv-Datei</u> 🛃	(veröffentlicht am 20. Juli 2016)
	<u>xlsx-Datei</u> 🛃	(veröffentlicht am 20. Juli 2016)
	<u>Metadaten</u>	
Stand: 31. Dezember 2014	<u>csv-Datei</u> 🛃	(veröffentlicht am 30. März 2015)
	<u>xls-Datei</u> 🛃	(veröffentlicht am 30. März 2015)
	<u>Metadaten</u>	
Stand: 31. Dezember 2013	<u>csv-Datei</u> 🛃	(veröffentlicht am 25. Juli 2014)
	<u>xls-Datei</u> 🛃	(veröffentlicht am 25. Juli 2014)
	Metadaten	

INFORMATIONEN ZU DEN DATENSÄTZEN		
veröffentlicht von:	Stadt Bochum	
Lizenz:	Datenlizenz Deutschland Namensnennung 🗾	
Weberthe.	second by a bound of the stration	

#### **Barrier: Lack of Standards**

- Datamodells
- Formats
- Data Quality
- Portals
- Licences



#### **Barrier: Federal Structure in** Germany

#### Government

- 1 Nation -> 14 Ministeries
- **16 Federal States** • -> 12 Ministeries
- 294 Administrative Districts -> 6Departments
- 11.012 Municipalities -> 6 Departments



#### **Federal Structure of Germany**

### Population size of local governments in Germany



Local Governments as Providers of OpenData



Quelle: Eigene Darstellung basierend auf Angaben des Open Data Atlas: http://opendata.tursics.de (Stand: 30.3.2019)

Statista 2019, Data Source: Statistisches Bundesamt

# Barrier: Blocking attitude of data providers

- "The data is mine, I don't want to share!"
- "Why should I share?"
- "The data underlies the data privacy rules, I can't share"
- "The data can be used from "wrong persons, it's not justified to share" (right wing parties, media)



### **Barriers for OpenData Readiness in Germany**

- Legislation is spread across the different levels of the federal structure and Open Data does not have the same weight everywhere
- Legacy systems, lack of metadata (therefore high effort to create it for publishing), different metadata standards, various different platforms to publish data
- Additional personnel or budget is normally not available for Open Data
- Capacity building within the administration
- Open Data is well known to a relatively small community, but not to the broad public. Besides the funding programmemFUND(see Q3.10), the participation in the Open Government Partnership (OGP) overall brings more awareness
- Data cannot be used as long as it is not open. A lot of interesting data, especially for citizens, is located at the local level. With the federal bill and the commitment of the Länder to also make respective legislation, the amount of published data shall be

https://wwweggegeddataportal.eu/sites/default/files/country-factsheet\_germany\_2018.pdf

#### **OPENSDI** "Readiness of Europe"

Figure 1: Prototype showing the three key dimensions of the Map of Open SDI Europe (dark blue implies fully open, whereas red implies not open)



Vancauwenberghe, G., K. Valečkaitė, B. van Loenen and F. Welle Donker (2018). "Assessing the Openness of Spatial Data Infrastructures (SDI): Towards a Map of Open SDI."

### TWO NEW PROJECTS TO INCREASE OPENESS OF SDI

### SPIDER: open SPatial data Infrastructure eDucation nEtwoRk

ERASMUS + Strategic Partnership Alliance (start Sep 1, 2019)

- BOCHUM UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, Germany
- TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITEIT DELFT, Netherlands
- KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT LEUVEN, Belgium
- LUNDS UNIVERSITET, Sweden
- SVEUCILISTE U ZAGREBU GEODETSKI FAKULTET, Croatia

## **SPIDER: GOALS**

 Promote and strengthen active learning and teaching towards Open SDI

Subobjectives:

- To explore, develop and implement the concept of Open SDI as a new paradigm to SDI education
- To develop and promote active and multidisciplinary learning and teaching on Open SDI
- To develop a general toolkit for implementing Open SDI in existing curricula in study programs of different disciplines
- To drive the uptake of Open SDI teaching and learning resources by teachers and students via open online platforms

### **SPIDER envisaged Results and Impacts**

- A better understanding of existing practices, policies and systems in education, and training across countries in Europe and beyond
- A central online SPIDER platform facilitating Open SDI education in Europe and beyond
- Inclusion of new, innovative concepts and topics related to Open SDI in SDI education
- Improved quality of education and training in Open SDI in Europe
- An education aligned with the future needs of the labour market

## **TODO - Twinning Open Data Operational**

- HORIZON 2020 Twinning (start Oct 1, 2019)
- TU Delft + University of Aegean + 6 faculties of University of Zagreb
  - Geodesy
  - Electical Engineering and Computing
  - Organization and Informatics
  - Law
  - Transport
  - Agriculture



## **TODO: Interdisciplinary and Multidomain Approach**

Before TODO

After TODO



### Join us on our project events! THANK YOU FOR THE ATTENTION